# **EXHIBIT JJ**



Case: Caryn Devins Strickland -v- United States of America, et al.

**Planet Depos** 

Phone: 888-433-3767 Fax: 888-503-3767

Email: transcripts@planetdepos.com

www.planetdepos.com

WORLDWIDE COURT REPORTING & LITIGATION TECHNOLOGY

#### LIMITED CONFIDENTIALITY

```
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
                           CONVERSATION
              "190117 1621 Limited Confidentiality"
8
       IN RE: CARYN DEVINS STRICKLAND V. UNITED STATES OF
10
                          AMERICA, ET AL.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
    Job No.: 479402
    Pages: 1 - 62
21
    Transcribed by: Christian Naaden
22
```

```
1
    Chapter 10 right now --
2
             MR. STRICKLAND: It's the --
3
             MR. ISHIDA: -- at mediation.
4
             MR. STRICKLAND: -- same report. Yeah. Same
5
    report.
             MR. ISHIDA: We -- Chapter 9 is different.
6
7
    Chapter 9 is -- it's that -- that -- the investigation
8
    report, you know, and -- and Judge Gregory and I talked
9
    about that, is on a different track from this.
10
             MR. STRICKLAND: So is Tony going --? Tony's a
11
    party either way. I mean, is he going to get it through
12
    Chapter 9 or -- and just not Chapter 10, and at the end
13
    of the day, he still has it?
14
             MR. ISHIDA: Well, I mean, in -- in -- in --
15
    well, if this -- again, if this proceeds to the formal
16
    process, you know, OGC is -- their view is everybody
    gets it, because it's -- it's now -- it's -- it's --
17
18
    it's almost in effect -- you know, it -- it -- it's
19
    wha- -- what -- it -- it -- they --
20
             They drew the analogy, like, it's almost,
    like, discovery. It's the only evidence in the case. So
21
22
    their view is everybody is going to get -- the judicial
```

```
1
    officer is probably going to order that the report be
2
    given to all the parties.
3
             MS. STRICKLAND: Co- --
4
             MR. ISHIDA: Now, in the Chapter 9 case, if --
5
    if they -- hypothetically, there's a recommendation for
6
    discipline against Mr. Martinez, I can imagine that the
7
    chief judge is going to want a response from Mr.
8
    Martinez. And the only way he -- he can respond is if
9
    he is given a copy of the report.
10
             MR. STRICKLAND: I -- I thought that was the
11
    point of the report though, was to determine whether
12
    anybody was culpable, and make recommendations about
13
    what is to be done about that.
14
                          Well, that -- that is. But --
             MR. ISHIDA:
15
             MR. STRICKLAND: So --
16
             MR. ISHIDA: -- to have --
17
             MR. STRICKLAND: -- basically, Chapter 9 is on
18
    hold then.
19
             MR. ISHIDA: Well, no. I think I -- well, I
20
    think they can -- they can both proceed, but they're
21
    going to proceed on different tracks.
22
             MR. STRICKLAND: Well, will Tony get a copy of
```

```
1
    the report then for purposes of Chapter 9 at this time?
2
             MR. ISHIDA: Oh, I see what you mean. Well,
3
    you're right. In -- so, in thinking about this
4
    mechanically that would not be -- that would not be
5
    fair for him to have the report and you not to have the
6
    report.
7
             MR. STRICKLAND:
                               That's exactly right.
8
             MR. ISHIDA: So what we could -- what we could
9
    do is hold the Chapter 9 proceeding in advance until
10
    the Chapter 10 proceeding has been finished. I mean,
11
    that's one way we could do that.
12
             MS. STRICKLAND: It -- I mean, I quess just
13
    practically speaking, if -- if -- if there's nothing,
14
    say, observable that -- that I see occur, can I infer -
15
    - is it a reasonable inference that the report doesn't
16
    recommend any kind of disciplinary actions against
17
    anybody?
18
             MR. ISHIDA: You know, Carvn, I can't -- I
19
    can't answer that question. The -- the whole point of
20
    OGC's directive to me is that -- part of -- part of the
21
    reason why they feel strongly about not disclosing the
22
    investigation report is they feel that it -- it is
```

1 going to taint and compromise, you know, attempts to 2 resolve the matter informally. 3 MS. STRICKLAND: But I think that's part of 4 the problem. Is that as part of the -- my complaint of 5 retaliation, I was trying to resolve this informally. 6 But unfortunately, the -- my observation was that the 7 federal defender never really came to the table in good 8 faith to negotiate. So I'm -- I'm not sure. 9 To be honest, I actually feel quite the 10 opposite. I don't know how I can go into mediation 11 without any sense of what the findings or 12 recommendations are. Because without knowing whether 13 there are recommendations to hold people accountable 14 for wrongdoing, I'm not really in any kind of position 15 to make decisions about what to do next, or what to 16 even ask for. Like, I quess I feel just very much left in the dark. 17 18 MR. ISHIDA: Well, I can -- I can -- I --19 personally, I can understand that. Although, I think 20 what OGC is recommending makes a lot of sense too. In 21 that their view is if the report is disclosed, it then 22 becomes a fight about the report, and not about -- and

1	not about the the concerns and issues being raised.
2	But it it's almost going to create
3	satellite litigation over contesting what the report
4	says. And it's going to remove focus away from, really,
5	the the the real issues and concerns in the case.
6	MR. STRICKLAND: Is this kind of an ad hoc
7	approach to this particular case? Or is this the norm?
8	MR. ISHIDA: I I don't know. I mean
9	MR. STRICKLAND: Well
10	MR. ISHIDA: they they are much more
11	experienced about EDR matters than I am, which is why
12	we sought out. And we we asked their subject matter
13	expert.
14	MR. STRICKLAND: Yeah. I'm not I'm not
15	this is not a loaded question. It's just it's is
16	this the first case that's gone to mediation that
17	you've done?
18	MR. ISHIDA: Oh, no, no.
19	MR. STRICKLAND: Okay. Well, in in those
20	other cases, wha and I'm assuming most cases do
21	include a wrongful conduct investigation once
22	everybody's on notice of of what the allegations

1 are. 2 MR. ISHIDA: Unfortunately, this is not the 3 first, and it's not one involving misconduct. But every 4 -- you know, we -- and that's why I sought out general 5 counsel, is I wanted to be very careful about this and 6 all cases, again. 7 MR. STRICKLAND: Yeah. So I'm -- I'm curious, 8 what -- what provision of the Fourth Circuit's EDR plan 9 is OGC relying on to say that no party is entitled to 10 the report? Because the way I read it is slightly 11 different. 12 MR. ISHIDA: Well, I don't think -- they just 13 -- the -- the general counsel had just told me that 14 she's -- she looked at our EDR plan, she didn't specify 15 what part of it. She just told me that she reviewed the 16 plan, here's her -- here's her advice from counsel. That seems like a pragmatic 17 MR. STRICKLAND: 18 suggestion, as opposed to one that's based off the 19 terms of the EDR plan. Because the way I read it, and 20 this is, you know, in Chapter 9 and section four of 21 Chapter 10, it says that information and records, 22 that's a quote, is -- are shared based on a need to

```
1
    know.
2
             So it would seem like the -- there
3
    would have to be a determination that there was not a
4
    need to know on the part of the parties in order for --
5
    to not share information and records that are part of
6
    the -- both of those proceedings.
7
                          I -- I -- I'm not -- you know, I
             MR. ISHIDA:
8
    mean, I -- I -- I know the -- the provision you're --
9
    you're -- you're citing.
10
             MR. STRICKLAND: Yeah. I mean, if the report
11
    is not information or a record, what is it. Well, I --
12
    that's okay.
13
             MS. STRICKLAND:
                              Yeah. We'll --
14
             MR. STRICKLAND:
                               I -- we -- we can move on
15
    from that.
16
             MS. STRICKLAND:
                              Yeah.
17
             MR. STRICKLAND:
                               I mean, it's -- it -- it --
18
    it's more of just a point of curiosity. If AO has
19
    suggested that the -- the report not be shared, it
20
    would seem like there would be something definitive in
21
    the pa- -- plan that they would say supports that.
22
             MS. STRICKLAND:
                               The other thing -- and -- and
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

#### Transcript of 190117 1621 Limited Confidentiality

of course, this is -- we're not trying to shoot the messenger here. We know this is, you know -- you're -this is what OGC recommended. But the idea that it disincentivizes an informal resolution seems really counterintuitive to me because it actually seems to create an incentive to accelerate this. Because neither side can find out the basic facts and findings of what happened without it going to a final hearing. So I'm not sure -- to the extent that 10 there is a pragmatic angle on what they're trying to 11 incentivize, it may be true as a general matter. But 12 I'm not sure that that is true in this particular case. 13 MR. ISHIDA: Well, I don't disagree with what 14 either of you has said. You know, I'm -- you know, I --15 I'm left in the, I quess, impossible situation of 16 trying to discern the basis for OGC's advice to us. And 17 I don't -- certainly don't want to speak for them. 18 I mean, their -- their advice, their counsel 19 to us make a lot of sense, and we intend to follow it. 20 Does it, you know -- I mean, it -- are there issues and 21 difficulties? I think we can all agree that there are 22 different views on it they can take.

1	MR. STRICKLAND: On interpreting the report?
2	MR. ISHIDA: No. On on interpreting what
3	makes sense, and what procedure we, you know
4	effectuates a good outcome. I mean, I think we can I
5	think we all you know, we can agree that the process
6	has is not perfect.
7	MS. STRICKLAND: Right. Well, what? I'm
8	sorry. I'm just going back to something a little bit
9	earlier that you said about Chapter 9. So when when
10	will Chapter 9 be acted upon?
11	MR. ISHIDA: Well, unlike Chapter 10, there
12	are no deadlines in Chapter 9. But but, you know,
13	there there there is a good, practical reason for
14	deferring this. I mean, if if if you if you
15	look at judicial misconduct, there's a lot of times
16	where a complaint against a judge will be filed.
17	An EDR complaint and a judicial misconduct
18	complaint under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act
19	typically, like like in this case, you've got two
20	different claims, two different tracks a lot of times
21	arising from the same set of fact.
22	And so what we've done in the past is we have

1	done what we are contemplating doing here. And that is,
2	you hold the judicial conduct piece of this in advance,
3	and you let the EDR piece just go forward.
4	MR. STRICKLAND: It's an uncomfortable
5	situation. I you know, because this is going to
6	require Caryn to mediate with somebody that she has
7	alleged engaged in wrongdoing. That's that's an
8	awkward thing to do. It's also going to require her to
9	come up with thoughts about how this could be resolved
10	not knowing whether the first assistant is going to
11	remain in his job and in that duty station.
12	MR. ISHIDA: Well, I think this is that
13	would be certainly be a matter that can be brought in
14	mediation.
15	MR. STRICKLAND: So it so so but but
16	in but how would that work in mediation? How would
17	you bring it up? Would you have to, essentially, try to
18	talk Tony into under understanding that?
19	MR. ISHIDA: Well
20	MR. STRICKLAND: Because that's been attempted
21	before.
22	MS. STRICKLAND: I

1 MR. ISHIDA: Well, but -- but now you've got 2 the assistance of a mediator. 3 MS. STRICKLAND: Right. I mean, I quess for 4 me, there's -- there's a more -- there's a fundamental 5 question which really doesn't have to do with me at 6 all. But it has to do with the fact that the purpose of 7 the EDR plan, as I understand it, is not just to make 8 me whole, but it's to ensure a safe workplace 9 environment. 10 And it's disturbing to me the fact that these 11 allegations may be out there, and that whatever is in 12 the report isn't going to be acted on in a timely 13 manner out of a concern about its -- and -- and I could 14 be completely misunderstanding what you're saying. So I apologize if I did. 15 16 But it sounds like the reasoning for not 17 giving me the re- -- or not giving Tony the report, or 18 whoever the report earlier to act on it is that I 19 wouldn't have the report. And to me, it seems like, 20 what about the interest in creating a safe working 21 environment? And the fact that all of this time has 22 passed, and allegations haven't been rectified or acted

1	on.
2	MR. ISHIDA: Well, nothing could happen until
3	we had a copy of the investigation report. And I think
4	I would disagree with the sense that nothing will
5	happen, or that somehow things are being delayed. I
6	think we've tried to act expeditiously as we possibly
7	could at every step of the proceeding. And now that we
8	have the report, we're moving ahead.
9	MS. STRICKLAND: But it sounds like the the
10	interest in I OGC's whatever's underlying
11	their recommendation to keep the report from the
12	parties is outweighing the interest in expeditiously
13	imposing accountability.
14	MR. ISHIDA: Well, I think there's a
15	difference between when that happens and ultimately
16	that it will happen. I think I can I can certainly
17	assure you that Chief Judge Gregory is going to is
18	going to hold if if if parties need to be held
19	accountable, he will certainly do that.
20	MR. STRICKLAND: But but the basis for
21	holding people accountable is the report. I mean,
22	Chapter 9 is the pathway to accountability.

1	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER
2	I, Chris Naaden, a transcriber, hereby declare
3	under penalty of perjury that to the best of my ability
4	from the audio recordings and supporting information;
5	and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor
6	employed by any of the parties to this case and have no
7	interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome, the
8	above 61 pages contain a full, true and correct
9	transcription of the tape-recording that I received
10	regarding the event listed on the caption on page 1.
11	
12	I further declare that I have no interest in
13	the event of the action.
14	
15	
16	
17	February 10, 2023
18	Chris Naaden
19	
20	
21	
22	